

# STEVENAGE BOROUGH COUNCIL

## OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

DATE: 14 February 2023

TIME: 6pm

LOCATION: Council Chamber

### MINUTES

**Present:** *Councillors: Lin Martin-Haugh (Chair), Phil Bibby CC (Vice-Chair), Stephen Booth, Jim Brown, Michael Downing, Bret Facey, Wendy Kerby, Conor McGrath, Robin Parker CC, Claire Parris, Loraine Rossati, and Anne Wells.*

**Start/End Time:** *Start: 6:00pm  
End: 7:55pm*

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received by Councillors Rob Broom, Andy McGuinness, and Sarah Mead.

Councillor Stephen Booth substituted for Councillor Andy McGuinness.

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 2 MINUTES – 24 JANUARY 2023

It was **RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the Meeting of the Committee held on 24 January 2023 be approved as a true record of the proceedings and be signed by the Chair.

#### 3 PART I DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

##### 1. MINUTES – 18 JANUARY 2023

Noted.

##### 2. MINUTES OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE AND SELECT COMMITTEES

Noted.

##### 3. GENERAL FUND AND COUNCIL TAX SETTING 2023/24

The Committee was given an update on the General Fund and Council Tax Setting 2023/24 since the draft that was presented in the January meeting. This included the projections for business rates which were gained but still had to be realised. Rateable values had gone up by £20m and it was likely there would be a high level of appeals, but these took a long time to go through. Additionally, there was an update on the Council Tax Support Scheme (CTS). From the 1<sup>st</sup> April 2023, everyone on this scheme will get up to £25 or up to their liability. If people go on to CTS during the year, they will also get the top up.

In response to questions, the Strategic Director advised:

- A provision was made every year with the total amount of business rates for sharing. Just over £2m was set aside for 23/24 appeals. The gains of 22/23 were £800,000, but the level of the appeals hadn't all been realised. The Council makes educated projections to set aside an amount.
- By the end of the financial year the Council will know how much the business rate income is but won't know the business rate yield for many years until the next re-evaluation date.
- The savings package took up a lot of time and it wasn't completed by November so a meeting with Leaders Financial Security Group wasn't possible. Briefings had been offered to all parties.
- The total cost of the Commercial Sales Officer role included the employers cost which are often over 30%. The post had one year to justify itself, which would be funded then afterwards it would be self-funding from additional income it would bring in.
- The Equalities Impact Assessment was being revised to be an overarching impact on policy. It could be looked at earlier and this was something to look at. By producing the assessment, it gave Members the visible impacts and should be taken into account of decision making.
- The 1% tax raise next year will provide £63,500. There would be a balance between what can be achieved through fees and charges, and the Council had looked for efficiencies and opportunities to increase income.
- Stevenage Borough Council (SBC) had an established emergency plan and a number of people trained for the roles. The plan had been stress tested. The Council does have significantly less people than 2005, but it depended on the incident. Hertfordshire authorities worked closely and had joint arrangements of mutual aid.

In response to questions from several Members regarding cuts to play services, the Strategic Director advised:

- All play services would be cut. There will be a consultation process to look at other options.
- The Council was looking at £1.5m minimum savings by October/November due to inflation. The leadership team looked at all services and new income streams and the decision was to look at larger areas of spend. This was taken to the portfolio holders.
- 1063 registered children used the play services. They had to be registered to use the service, pre-pandemic data could be found but they might not have been registered before.
- Since COVID there was a downturn in attendance, especially in afterschool clubs. The cost per child was expensive.
- There was an informal consultation process which consulted staff and this feedback would be considered.
- These cuts are only done as the Council didn't have enough money to run all the services.
- The users of the play services would be consulted. Staff came up with alternatives in the previous reductions to play services, but this became more difficult when cutting the entire service. The Council would look at other offers and everything that could be done.

#### **4. CAPITAL STRATEGY 2022/23 – 2027/28**

Members were advised that we had an unfunded capital programme for next year of £177,000. The Council had found slippages and schemes that no longer needed to proceed and had a balanced budget for 23/24 for Members to approve.

#### **5. ANNUAL TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2023/24 INCLUDING PRUDENTIAL CODE INDICATORS**

Members were advised that this report was scrutinised by the Audit Committee and there were no comments. This report set out parameters for the coming year 23/24 and aligned with the capital programme.

In response to questions, the Strategic Director responded:

- The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) had a lot of planned borrowing and this was higher than the share of the investment interest, so this had more of an adverse impact. The General Fund had less borrowing and was more shielded and had a less negative impact. Most borrowing from the HRA was fixed.
- The Council used treasury management advisors for forecasting who triangulate economists and government projections.

- In terms of equities, there were things like properties funds, but the capitol was at risk. Additionally, some had to be in for 10 years to be protected and the Audit Committee at the time did not agree to risk this. The Council was risk adverse and the cash balance is predicted to go down.
- The Council could invest in capitol risk, but it had to be within the strategy.

## **6. URGENT PART I BUSINESS – 8 FEBRUARY 2023**

There was none.

### **4 URGENT PART I DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

There was none.

### **5 MAKING IT HAPPEN: THE REFRESHED CLIMATE CHANGE STRATEGY AT THE GRASSROOTS WITH THE EXECUTIVE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR ENVIRONMENT & CLIMATE CHANGE**

The Executive Portfolio Holder for Environment & Climate Change, Councillor Simon Speller, gave a verbal presentation and discussed the refreshed Climate Change Strategy. This included:

- Within the 9 levels of climate change strategies, Cllr Speller focused on levels 7 and 8 which related to neighbourhood and street level climate change strategies.
- The climate change fund, otherwise known as the 'Dragons Den Scheme', is around £7.5k per borough ward for communities and residents to use. This pilot scheme will be community-led.
- Cllr Speller, as portfolio holder, represented the Council on pre-county/district working parties – The Hertfordshire Climate Change Strategy Partnership, The Hertfordshire Investment and Planning Policy Partnership, and The Hertfordshire Waste Partnership.
- The Hertfordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy contained the biodiversity action plan as well as accessibility and green spaces.
- He urged Members to send in green schemes and engage with the community to identify green spaces.
- He brought attention to the Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill, as well as the review of the Hertfordshire Waste Partnership and waste management.

Cllr Simon Speller informed Members of the progress and work that has been going on. This included the tree planting at Fairlands Valley Park by some schools. He urged Members to go to these schools from their wards to see what else they would like to

get involved in. Additionally, various residents in Stevenage had planted flowers, shrubs, and other vegetation to small green spaces in their streets.

Cllr Speller highlighted the first Dragons Den Pilot Scheme in Baddeley Close. Small green areas will be community-led developments and turned into green spaces such as sensory gardens. He stressed that these schemes must have sustainable community interest. These areas would have a green impact, would look appealing and could also save the Council money as these spaces would not need grass cutting.

A Member brought attention to residents who wanted to plant wildflowers, but the grass kept being cut. Cllr Speller advised that the best person to contact was Veronica Chan as this was a pilot scheme. Many residents may not have known about the schemes and the Council and Members could find ways to create community schemes.

Another Member suggested that the Council would have to plant 300,000 trees to address climate change according to a Hertfordshire University Professor. The Council needs to reduce CO2 emissions to achieve the 2030 target SBC set and this can't be done through the Dragons Den Scheme. Cllr Speller responded that this scheme wasn't about strategy, but more the implementation of the local community side of the strategy. He agreed the 2030 targets were aspirational and that the Council needed to get more serious about climate change. However, he stated that the Council needed to get community engagement as well as constructive partners with Hertfordshire County Council and other districts to move forward. A lot of people were very interested in the Dragons Den Scheme and this was a way to get people thinking about climate change.

A Member asked whether there were any rules other than it being community led. Cllr Speller advised there were three key criteria to the scheme. It was something people in the area wanted, it had to have a green benefit, and everyone had to understand that they couldn't rely on the Council

A Member stated that they had spoken to schools in Roebuck, and they came up with a suggestion to put skips in certain areas to reduce fly tipping. The Strategic Director responded that this was a good suggestion and should be linked to a community day or activity as it could fuel more fly tipping if it was permanent, but a focused activity would be better. Cllr Speller agreed that it was not green but could stop fly tipping.

Cllr Simon Speller informed Members that he was invited to a Stevenage Borough Football Club game due to locality budget that was put into a green scheme and the English Football League hosting a green awareness weekend. He spoke on behalf of Cllr Sarah Mead who also attended, and she suggested that there should be a green conference of local organisations and partnerships such as North Hertfordshire College and Stevenage Football Club.

A Member highlighted the resolution passed in 2019 that was specific about agreeing a target of 2030, which was similar in other Councils. He suggested that we would be ahead if we had been climate proofing when the first climate strategy was set in 2010. Cllr Speller responded that a citizen's panel came out in 2019. He suggested that the report produced was good but there were mistakes made as they were not properly told or informed. Cllr Speller proposed that his idea was to engage and meet them, and there would be a splitting of people who have an interest in climate and other people. Another Member responded that the citizens panel did have some value as it had people that weren't usually included.

A Member highlighted the 5,000 pieces of grass the development corporation gave the Council in the 1980s. This was a great scheme, however 30% or more of the countries emissions come from the construction industry and we have allowed demolition and construction which had contributed a lot to this. Cllr Speller advised that it is up to the group of residents whether they wanted to set up a sensory garden. The Local Plan was the biggest protector and defender of climate change policies. The Strategic Director stated that there are more innovations coming through construction teams. There are still emissions, but some firms are net zero. However, there are lessons the Council can learn. SBC is looking at decarbonisation projects and other innovations.

Another Member asked whether these were all resident-led schemes, whether this was Borough Council money, and whether there was a time limit on this scheme. The Strategic Director confirmed that they were resident-led. He advised there was a mix of government grant funding, development funding and some underspend that was brought forward. This would be tested for a year with an expectation to continue this. Cllr Speller responded that it was whenever the residents were ready. Residents should propose and run schemes, but SBC could help residents with things such as social media.

## **6 URGENT PART I BUSINESS**

There was none.

## **7 EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

It was **RESOLVED:**

- (1) That under Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as described in Paragraphs 1 - 7 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Acts as amended by Local Government (Access to information) (Variation) Order 2006

- (2) That Members consider the reasons for the following reports (if any) being in Part II and determine whether or not maintaining the exemption from disclosure of the information contained therein outweighs the public interest in disclosure

**8 PART II MINUTES – OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – 24 JANUARY 2023**

It was **RESOLVED**: That the Part II Minutes of the Meeting of the Committee held on 24 January 2023 be approved as a true record of the proceedings and be signed by the Chair.

**9 PART II DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

Noted.

**10 URGENT PART II DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

There was none.

**11 URGENT PART II BUSINESS**

There was none.

**CHAIR**